

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/043,366	Applicant(s) KATSUYAMA, IWAO	
	Examiner Daniel M Sullivan	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel M Sullivan.

(2) Kristi Rupert.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

64,66

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative initiated the interview to discuss typographical errors in the Examiner's amendment mailed 5 January 2004.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)